

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/512,394	02/24/2000	Kyou-Yoon Sheem	3364.P039	5787
7590 04/20/2005 Blakely Sokoloff Taylor & Zafman LLP			EXAMINER	
			MERCADO, JULIAN A	
12400 Wilshire Boulevard 7th Floor Los Angeles, CA 90025-1026			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			1745	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
			DATE MAILED: 04/20/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/512,394	SHEEM ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Julian Mercado	1745				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>26 January 2005</u> .						
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□ This	This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.					
,—	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1,3-6 and 8-10 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,3-6 and 8-10</u> is/are rejected.)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,3-6 and 8-10</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	•					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>26 January 2005</u> is/are: a)□ accepted or b)⊠ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the domined explice not received.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)						
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate Patent Application (PTO-152)				

DETAILED ACTION

Remarks

Claims 1, 3-6 and 8-10 are pending.

Drawings

The drawings are objected to because the replacement drawing filed January 26, 2005 has been labeled as Figure 1. However, when this drawing was first filed on May 17, 2004, it was merely a reference graph, as described on page 5 of the accompanying remarks. Is the January 26, 2005 drawing intended to replace Figure 1 of the drawings filed February 24, 2000?

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will

Art Unit: 1745

be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The rejection of claims 1, 3-6 and 8-10 under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph has been obviated.

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 1, 3-6 and 8-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, because the specification, while being enabling for a semi-crystalline structure when boron (B) is present in the carbon shell, does not reasonably provide enablement for such a semi-crystalline structure for the other metal components.

Applicant's remarks filed with the present amendment have been fully considered, however they are not persuasive. Applicant submits that the Group 3A or 4A elements result in twisting of the graphite structure while the Group 1A and 2A elements result in expansion. However, the specification is silent on this effect on the carbon shell. The examiner notes that applicant did not provide page and line citations to the specification in support of this feature.

Claims 1, 3-6 and 8-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which

Application/Control Number: 09/512,394 Page 4

Art Unit: 1745

was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

Applicant submits that Figure 3 is in support of a semi-crystalline structure being an intermediate structure that exists between crystalline and amorphous forms. This is not persuasive since the written description is limited to observed peaks at 700°C or less being amorphous carbon while peaks at 700°C or more being crystalline carbon. Thus, Figure when read in light of the specification merely shows a crystalline form of carbon and not an intermediate structure that exists between crystalline and amorphous forms

Claims 1, 3-6 and 8-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. Claims 1 and 6 have been amended to recite a double layer structure for the negative active material. This feature is not found supported by the specification. It appears to the examiner that applicant is attempting to recite the structure shown in Figure 4 of the application. If so, Figure 4 is considered to show a carbon shell around a central core and not a double layer structure.

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Art Unit: 1745

Claims 1, 3-6 and 8-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claims 1 and 6 have been amended to recite a double layer structure for the negative active material. This feature is indefinite as the specification has no clear teaching of a double layer structure and since Figure 4 is considered to show a carbon shell around a central core, i.e. a single layer around a core.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1, 3-6 and 8-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ueda et al. in view of either Yamada et al. or Peled et al, and further in view of Mao et al.

The rejection is maintained for the reasons of record and for the additional reasons to follow in view of applicant's amendment.

As to a double layer structure, to the extent that this limitation is understood and believed supported by applicant's disclosure, Yamada's teaching of a triple layer wherein the third layer is a carbon layer is considered to read on the claimed double layer as well as the (apparent) disclosed single layer structure insofar as a triple layer structure comprises both a single and double layer.

Application/Control Number: 09/512,394

Art Unit: 1745

Applicant submits that Ueda et al. does not teach a carbon shell made of an elemental metal. Applicant is reminded one cannot show nonobviousness by attacking references individually where the rejections are based on combinations of references. See *In re Keller*, 642 F.2d 413, 208 USPQ 871 (CCPA 1981); *In re Merck & Co.*, 800 F.2d 1091, 231 USPQ 375 (Fed. Cir. 1986). See the prior Office action on June 5, 2002, where the combination of Ueda et al. in view of either Yamada et al. or Peled et al. was set forth to teach or at least suggest this feature.

Applicant's clarification on the previously submitted graph is acknowledged. However, the examiner maintains that Ueda et al. inherently has a shoulder at 700°C or more via differential thermal analysis with a structure ranging from, e.g. amorphous, semi-crystalline and crystalline forms, all of record and for the reasons of record. Applicant is reminded of the examiner's reliance on Mao's evidence teachings that "carbon materials are substantially amorphous, although it will be appreciated that they could be partially or completely crystalline or amorphous but possessing crystalline inclusions." (Mao, col. 3 line 7-10)

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period

Application/Control Number: 09/512,394

Art Unit: 1745

will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Julian Mercado whose telephone number is (571) 272-1289. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Patrick J. Ryan, can be reached on (571) 272-1292. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

Dryg Can

GREGG CANTELMO